



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,802	07/12/2006	Jon Erik Brennvall	06085	3412
23338	7590	02/24/2009	EXAMINER	
DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD			DESAI, NAISHADH N	
1727 KING STREET				
SUITE 105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/584,802	BRENNVALL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NAISHADH N. DESAI	2834	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) NAISHADH N. DESAI. (3) Ira Shultz (reg# 28666).  
 (2) Hanh Nguyen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: Applicant showcased their re-illustration of US 3707924's Fig 20.

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 3707924.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant to consider filing amendments to claims to overcome cited art to more clearly distinguish claimed invention, which may require a Request for Continued Examination. Further search and consideration may be required. No agreement was made..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nguyen N Hanh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834	February 18, 2009
--	-------------------